

Contents

Introduction	3
What is a credit hire agreement?	5
The Association of British Insurers' General Terms of Agreement (GTA)	6
Outside the GTA: entitlement to recover the hire claim	14
Genuine need	16
Is the agreement enforceable?	17
Subrogated claims and insurance	21
Offer of an alternative vehicle/intervention	22
Third party intervention generally	23
Period of hire	23
Failure to mitigate	27
Additional extras	29
Tactics	31
Flowchart for credit hire claims	33
Contributers	34

Introduction

Welcome to the fourth edition of Kennedys' motor credit hire guide.

Since the early 1990s, the battle between defendants and the credit hire industry has waxed and waned. The subject is as relevant today as it was 20 years ago.

Recently, credit hire claims have again been very much under the spotlight both in court and, earlier, as part of the Competition and Markets Authority's (CMA) review of the private motor market.

The CMA report had very little impact on the motor market but the final implementation of the 'whiplash reforms' may very well further impact on credit hire claims.

Recent decisions have seen a welcome move with the higher courts siding with the paying motor insurer rather than the credit hire organisations (CHOs), who continue to pursue recovery of often exorbitant claims for hire charges through the court system.

Recent years have also seen a number of insurers and CHOs unsubscribe from the ABI GTA (see below) and attempt to reach bilateral agreements instead to avoid the significant costs of litigation and further reduce friction and lifecycle in resolving these claims. The ABI GTA, once again, seems to be threatened.



Niall EdwardsPartner

t +44 114 253 2041

e niall.edwards@kennedyslaw.com



Ian DaviesPartner

t +44 121 214 8580

e ian.davies@kennedyslaw.com

Content last updated: May 2019

Motor credit hire quide / 3